THE FACTORS OF RELATED TO NURSING JOB SATISFACTION IN THE IN PATIENT AT HARAPAN BUNDA BATAM HOSPITAL 2015

Ns. As ri Sri Rahmadeni, MKep¹⁾ STIKes Mitra Bunda Persada Batam email: anias fri@gmail.com

Submitted : 13-02-2020, Reviewer: 27-02-2020, Accepted: 27-02-2020

Abstract

Job satisf action is a pleasant mental state perceived by a person on the job because of the requirement f or adequately. Someone who has a high level of job satisf action will have a positive f eeling about the job. This study aims to look at the f actors associated with job satisf action of nurses in the hospital harapan bunda batam 2015. The design of this study using cross sectional study design. Samples tak en by total sampling with total sample of 74 nurses. Results of the study are the f actors mitiv ato r and h ygiene f actors more than half are not implemented. Statistical test results obtained Motivator f actors that have a relationship with the achievement of satisf action of nurses are working nurse with p = 0.020, recognit i o n of the work with p = 0.003, and the work itself with p = 0.027. Hygiene f actors linked to the sati is f action of nurses are working regulations with p = 0.013, p = 0.011 salary, and interpersonal relationship s wit h p = 0.070. Af ter multivariate analysis obtained f actor most dominant working conditions and job satisf action of nurses with OR = 5.123. The implications of this study demonstrated that the mother's hospital hopes more attention to f actors related to job satisf action of nurses so that the quality of serv i ce provided nurses can work well.

Keywords: satisf action, Motivator factors, Hygiene factors

INTRODUCTION

Job satisfaction is an interesting conversation for hospitals and health workers including nursing. For nurses, job satisfaction will lead to pleasant feelings at work, while for hospitals job satisfaction is useful for increasing production effort, improving attitudes and behavior of nurses (Suwatno & Priansa, 2011). According to Robbins and Judge (2009) define the job satisfaction as a positive feeling about work as a result of evaluating the work While, according characteristics. to Sondang P Siagian (2011) argues that job satisfaction is a person's perspective both positive and negative about his work. Thus nurses who have high job satisfaction will positive about their work, thus be increasing the quality of nursing services

that they provide to patients and families as well as them. In fact, the hospitals in Indonesia still have problems in nurse job satisfaction.

Several studies have shown that, there are still many nurses who experience dissatisfaction with their work. According to Survanto, Sutomo and Setyawati (2011) the level of nurse satisfaction at PKU Muha mma diyah Gombong Hospital is only 76.85%, as well as the results of research by Maryanto, Pujiyanto and Setyono (2013) the level of nurse satisfaction at Demak Private Hospital was Muha mma dya lower than in PKU Gambong Hospital, that is, only 60.5%. Whereas, Tahsania's research (2013) at the Rumah Sehat Terpadu Parung Bogor Hospital also showed a very low rate of nurse satisfaction at only 58.7%. When

viewed from the three hospitals, it can be the k vel concluded that of nırse satisfaction is varies and is far below the customer satisfaction standards set by the Ministry of Health. The absolute of satisfaction level benchmarks do not exist because each individual employee has a different standard of satisfaction. According to Hasibuan (2005)the indicators of job satisfaction are only measured by discipline, work morale, turnover. Operationally, nurses who have high job satisfaction will enjoy their jobs, love their jobs, has high morale in work, discipline and achievement. So that, it can improve patient satisfaction both in outpatient and hospitalize d.

Some research on nurse satisfaction in the inpatient room also shows low job satisfaction. Nias and Sartika's (2012) research the inpatient room at in Fatmawati General Hospital in Jakarta 73.78% of nurses' reported iob satisfaction. The number of occupational nurses in Inpatient care at RSUP Prof. Dr.R.D Kandau Manado is only 65.8%. Tanpalang, Tuda and Waraw (2013) also reported that low nurse job satisfaction in Inpatient at Liunkendaga Tahuna Hospital was 61%. Overall, the job satisfaction of nurses, both treated and hospitalized is still below the standards desired by the Ministry of Health, which is less than 90%. This condition is quite a chore for nursing managers to find the cause of this nurse's low satisfaction.

The theory of job satisfaction is very much expressed by experts, including the theory of difference, justice and the theory of two factors. According to the theory the difference in one's job satisfaction can be obtained by comparing what is expected with reality, while the theory of justice people will feel satisfied or not satisfied, depending on whether he feels the existence of justice or not for a situation (Mangkunegara 2009). The two theories above are different from the two-factor theory proposed by Frederick Herzberg (2000) where job satisfaction is influenced by motivator factors and hygiene factors.

The factors of motivator are factors that encourage workers to be satisfied with According to Gibson and their work. Sopiah (2008) motivator factors consist of achievement. recognition, work itself. responsibility and development. While, the Hygiene Factor is a source of dissatisfaction consisting of company and regulations, administrative supervision techniques, supervision, salary, interpersonal relations. working conditions, job security, and status. The theory of two factors explains that job satisfaction is influenced by the driving factors and obstacles to the emergence of employee job satisfaction.

Some of the results of research on factors that influence nurses' job satisfaction report the causes of varying nurse job satisfaction. The results of The and Tucunan's research (2014) show the factors that influence nurse job satisfaction at RSUP Prof. Dr.R.D Kandou Manado is a factor of work security, type of work and supervision of the head of the room. The study was also supported by results of research conducted by the Hidayanto (2002) in Ambarawa Hospital, factors related to nurse job satisfaction were employment status, years of service and incentives. Based on the satisfaction of two factors and the research theory above, there are twelve (12) factors related to nurse job satisfaction, namely; 1) achievement 2) recognition, 3) work itself, 4) responsibility, 5) development 6) company regulations, 7) supervision techniques. 8) salary. 9) 10) interpersonal relations, working conditions, 11) job security, and 12) status. Faktor-faktor ini ke mungkina n juga mempengaruhi tingkat These factors may also influence the level of satisfaction of

nurses at Harapan Bunda Hospital Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital is a Type C Private Hospital, which has 106 nurses (2014), of which 76 nurses / 71.7% nurses are in the inpatient room consisting of 2,3,4,5 floors and ICU with 9.4% undergraduate nursing education qualifications, 61.4% DIII Nursing, 19.8% DIII Midwifery, 8.5% SPK and 0.9% SPRG (2014 The Data of Harapan Bunda Hospital).

Based on the initial survey that the researchers conducted on March 16, 2015 at the Harapan Bunda Hospital about nurses inpatient job satisfaction using questionnaires distributed to 25 nurses as samples, the results showed that nurses' satisfaction at work was only 60%, while the minimum standard of service (SPM) establish nurse job satisfaction should not be 90% (Kepmenkes ess than RI 129/Menkes/SK/II/2008).

When associated with factors that cause dissatisfaction, data obtained at Harapan Bunda Hospital Batam development /promotion is rarely done even if there are mostly given to certain people only. while nurses ako get ess recognition/appreciation for the good achievements that have been made so that this can reduce the work motivation of nurses at work.

Based on existing phenomena, the researchers are interested in conducting research on factors related to job satisfaction of nurses in the Inpatient Room of Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital

RESEARCH METHOD

The type of this research is descriptive analytic research with cross sectional design. In this study the population was all nurses inpatient hospital expectation mothers, namely 74 nurses. Sampling with total sampling.

RESULTS DISCUSSION

Nurse Job Satisfaction in the Inpatient Room of Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital in 2015

Table5.1FrequencyDistributionofImplementingNursesintheInpatientRoomoftheHarapanBundaHospital in

No	Job Satis faction	F	%
1	Satisfied	31	41,9
2	Not Satisfied	43	58,1
Bata	m in 2015 (n = 74)		

Table 5.1 shows the job satisfaction of implementing nurses inpatient care at the Harapan Bunda Hospital in 2015 in 2015, more than half were dissatisfied (58.1%).

Factors that Support Exe cuting Nurses in the Inpatient Room of Harapan B unda Hospital in B atam in 2015 Table 5.2 Frequency Distribution of Exe cuting Nurses Hospitalization B as ed on Motivator Factors in B atam Harapan Harapan Hospital in 2015 (n = 74)

		12013(n = 74)		
No	<u>Motivator Factors</u>	Categ or y	f	%
1	A chievement of work	k A chieved	28	37,8
		Notachieved	46	62,2
2	Job recognition	A ckn o wled ged	23	31,1
		Not recognized	51	68,9
3	The work its elf	Well	33	44,6
		Notgood	41	55,4
4	Job res ponsibilities	To be responsible	e33	44,6
		Not responsible	41	55,4
5	Career development	Exis t	20	27,0
	-	Not exis t	54	73,0

Table 5.2 shows that more than half (62.2%)of nurses implementing hospitalization did not fulfill the success factor in preparation. of the Factor Recognition work of implementing nurses is no more than half (68.9%). The work factor itself the implementing nurse worked well (44.6%) with not good (55.4%) almost the same. The factor of responsibility for nurses is almost the same between responsible nurses (44.6%) and irresponsible nurses (55.4%). The factors of career development for implementing nurses were mostly (73.0) categories of no development.

Inhibiting Factors (Hygie ne Factors) Exe cuting Nurs es in the Inpatie nt Room of Harapan Bunda Hospital in Batam in 2015

Table5.3FrequencyDistributionofExecutingNursesinInpatientRoomsBasedonHygie neFactorsinBatamHarapanBundaHospital in2015(n=74)

No	Motivator Factors	Category	f	%
1	Work Regulation	Corres pondin g	34	45,9
	-	Not corres pondi	1g40	54,1
2	Supervision	Do	32	43,2
		Arenotdone	45	56,8
3	Salary	Corres ponding	36	48,6
		Not corres pondia	1g38	51,4
4	Interpersonal	Well	26	35,1
	Relations hip	Notgood	48	64,9
5	Working Condition	s Well	35	47,3
		Notgood	39	52,7
6	Sense of Security	Safe	26	35,1
		Not s afe	48	64,9
7	Statu s	A ckn o wled ged	24	32,4
		Not recognized	50	67,6

Table 5.3 shows that the working rules of the nurses implementing the appropriate category (45.9%) and inappropriate (54.1%) balanced. The factor of are almost supervision of nurses implementing almost the same between existing categories (43.2%) and none (56.8%). The salary factor for nurse nurses between the appropriate categories (48.6%) and ina ppropriate (51.4%) is almost as large. Factors of interpersonal relations of imple menting nurses were more than half with non-good categories of (64.9%). Factors of working conditions of nurses implementing good and not good categories are almost balanced. The factor of security is more than half of the implementing nurses working in the insecure category of (64.9%). The factor of the status of implementing nurses was more than half of the categories that were not recognized (67.6%).

Supporting Factors (Motivator Factors) With Executing Nurse Job Satisfaction Level in Inpatient Room of Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital in 2015 Table5.4Relations hipbe tweenMotivatorFactorsandOccupationalNurseSatis factionLevelsinInpatientRoomsof theHarapanBundaHos pitalinBatamin 2015 (n = 74)

				<u>Satis fac</u> tion					ρ
N 0	Variable	Criteria	Sa	tis fie			Tot	al	value
U			d			<u>is fied</u>		.—	_
			f	%	f	%	f	(<u>%</u>
1	Achievemen	tAchieved	17	60,7	11	39,3	28	10	0,020
	ofwork	Notachieved	14	30,4	32	69,6	46	0	
2	Job	0				30,4	23	10	0,003
	recognition	Not recognized	15	29,4	36	70,6			
3	The wor	kW ell		57,6					0,027
	its elf	Notgood	12	29,3	29	70,7	41	0	
4		To be res ponsib							0,878
	responsibilit es	iNot res ponsible	18	43,9	23	56,1	41	0	
5	Career	Exis t	8	40,0	12	60,0	20	10	1,000
	development	t Notexist	23	42,6	31	57,4	54	0	
		he job satisfa							
exe acl	ecutives hievement o	obtained, n of work achiev more than	am ed	e ly said	6 sat	60.7% fisfied			
exe acl wit	ecutives hievement o h his job	obtained, n fworkachiev	am ed ha	e ly said a lf	6 sat of	60.7% fisfied the			
exe acl wit	ecutives hievement o h his job hievement o	obtained, n of work achiev more than of work was 1	am ed ha	ely said alf achi	6 sat of e ve	60.7% isfied the d but			
exe acl wit acl sai	ecutives hievement o h his job hievement o d satisfied	obtained, n of work achiev o more than of work was r with his job (2	am ed ha not 39,9	e ly said a lf ac hi %).	6 sat of e ve The	60.7% isfied the d but Chi-			
exe acl wit acl sai squ	ecutives hievement of h his job hievement of d satisfied uare test res	obtained, n of work achiev more than of work was n with his job (3 sults showed ρ	a m e d ha not 39,9 = 0	e ly said a lf ac hi 6). 0.020	6 sat of eve The) Ol	60.7% isfied the d but Chi- R 3.5			
exe acl wit acl sai squ (95	ecutives hievement of hievement of hievement of d satisfied hare test res 5% CI 1.3-	obtained, n of work achiev o more than of work was r with his job (2	a m e d ha not 39,9 = 0	e ly said alf achi 6). 0.020 t the	6 sat of eve The O OI re v	50.7% isfied the d but Chi- R 3.5 was a			
exe acl wit acl sai squ (95 rel	ecutives hievement of th his job hievement of d satisfied uare test res 5% CI 1.3- ationship be	obtained, n of work achiev more than of work was r with his job (sults showed ρ 9.5) meaning	a m e d ha not 39,9 = 0 that nie v	e ly said alf achi 6). 0.020 t the reme	6 sat of eve The O OI re v	50.7% isfied the d but Chi- R 3.5 was a			
exe acl wit acl sai squ (95 rel nut	ecutives hievement of hievement of d satisfied Dare test res 5% CI 1.3- ationship be cse's wor	obtained, n of work achiev o more than of work was n with his job (3 sults showed ρ 9.5) meaning t tween the ach	a m backet backet	e ly said alf achi 6). 0.020 t the reme a	6 sat of eve The O OI re nt ont	0.7% isfied the d but Chi- R 3.5 vas a of the			
exe acl wit acl sai squ (95 rel nut sat	ecutives hievement of hievement of d satisfied Dare test res 5% CI 1.3- ationship be cse's wor	obtained, n of work achiev o more than of work was r with his job (3 sults showed ρ 9.5) meaning t tween the ach k performan	am ed hanot 39,9 = (that nie v nce mer	e ly said alf achi %). 0.020 t the reme ant o	6 sat of eve The O OI re v nt of	50.7% isfied the d but Chi- R 3.5 was a of the job work			
exa acl wit acl sai squ (95 rel nu sat acl	ecutives hievement of the his job hievement of d satisfied tare test res 5% CI 1.3- ationship be rse's wor tisfaction. hieved has	obtained, n of work achiev o more than of work was r with his job (3 sults showed ρ 9.5) meaning tween the ach k performan The achieven	a m e d hat 39,9 = 0 that nie v nie v nie v 3.5	e ly said a lf a chi 6). 0.020 t the re me a t nt tim	6 sat of eve The O OI re v nt c ind of es	50.7% isfied the d but Chi- R 3.5 vas a of the job work to be	1		

The work recognition factor with the satisfaction of the executing nurse obtained 69.6% of recognized work acknowledgments saying that more than half of the work acknowledgments were satisfied with their work but said they were satisfied with their work (29.4%). The Chi-square test results show $\rho = 0.003$ OR 5.5 (95% CI 1.8-16.4) meaning that there is a relationship between the recognition of nurses' work and Recognized job satisfaction. work recognition has a chance of 5.5 times to be

satisfied with his job compared to recognition of work that is not recognized.

The work factor itself with satisfaction of implementing nurses was obtained by 57.6% of the work itself, both said that they were satisfied with their work more than half of the jobs that were not good but said they were satisfied with their work (29.3%). The Chi-square test results show $\rho = 0.027$ OR 3.3 (95% CI 1.3-8.6) meaning that there is a relationship between the nurse's work and job satisfaction. good jobs have a chance 3.3 times to be satisfied with their work

The factors of work responsibility with satisfaction of nurse nurses obtained 39.4% good work responsibilities said that being satisfied with his job was almost the same as being irresponsible but said that he was satisfied with his work (43.9%). Chi-square test results show $\rho = 0.878$ OR 0.8 (95% CI 0.3-2.1) meaning that there is no between work responsibility relationship and job satisfaction of the executive nurse.

Career development factors with satisfaction of executive nurses obtained 40.0% of career development was done saying said that being satisfied with his job was almost the same as those with no career development but said he was satisfied with his work (42.6%). Chi-square test results show $\rho = 1,000$ OR 0.9 (95% CI 0.3-2,6) meaning that there is no relationship between career de ve lopme nt and job satisfaction of executive nurses.

Multivariate Analys is

Table 5.6 B ivariate Analys is of Motivator and Hygie ne Factors with Job Satisfaction for Nurses Implementing Inpatient Care at the Harapan B unda Hospital in B atam in 2015(n=74)

ALO L		, , ,
No	Variable	P value
1	Achievement	0,020
2	Recognition	0,003
3	Job	0,027
4	Regulation	0,013

5	Salary	0,011
6	Interpersonal Relationship	0,023
7	Working conditions	0,070
8	Sense of security	0,198

Table 5.6 shows the independent variables included in multivariate modeling which show p value <0.25

Table 5.7 Results of Logistic RegressionAnalysisTheRelationshipofAchievement, Recognition, Employment,Regulation,Salary,InterpersonalRelationship,WorkingConditionsandSense of security withOccupational

Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital in 2015 (n = 74)

(n =	= /4)				
No	Variable	Sig.	OR	95,0% C.I	
		0		Lower	Upper
1	Achievement	,208	2,305	,629	8,451
2	Recognition	,040	4,433	1,070	18,365
3	Job	,514	1,521	,432	5,363
4	Regulation	,119	2,624	,779	8,834
5	Salary	,088	3,025	,847	10,803
6	In terp ersonal	,545	1,517	,393	5,853
	Relations hip				
7	W orkin g	,012	5,123	1,426	18,406
	conditions				
8	Sense of	,689	1,315	,3444	5,020
	security				
	Constant	,000,	,000,		

Table 5.7 shows that the results of the multivariate analysis performed on the independent variables are obtained variables that have a value of p < 0.05 then,

The most domina nt variable working conditions affect the job satisfaction of inpatient nurses in Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital. The conclusion is that nurses who say good working conditions have the opportunity to improve nurse job satisfaction better 5.1 times compared to nurses who say the working conditions are not good at the Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital in 2015.

Dis cus s ion

Exe cuting Nurse Job Satisfaction in the Inpatient Room of Harapan Bunda Hospital in Batam in 2015 The results showed that the job satisfaction of nurses inpatient care for the Harapan Harapan Hospital in Batam in 2015 was more than half (51.8%) were not satisfied.

Job satisfaction is influenced by many factors so that job satisfaction can represent the attitude as a whole from a particular part of work, if someone has very high satisfaction one day the person can still feel dissatisfied with one or several factors that influence job satisfaction.

The Most Influential Working Conditions Against Nurse Job Satisfaction in the Inpatient Room of Harapan Bunda Hospital in Batam

The results of multivariate analysis conducted on six variables of achievement. recognition, work itself, work rules, salary, interpersonal relations, work conditions and security, all six variables were taken from the p value <0.25. The multivariate analysis performed was found that the most influential on the job satisfaction of nurses implementing hospital hospitalization, the expectation of mothers was the factor of working conditions. The final statistical test results have an OR value (expB) of 5.123, meaning that the strength of the good working condition variable relationship has 5.1 times to make nurses satisfied with their work compared to poor working conditions.

Working conditions as a series of conditions or circumstances of the work environment of a company that is the place of work of employees who work in that environment. Good working conditions that are comfortable and support workers to be able to carry out their activities well include everything in the employee's environment that can affect performance, as well as work safety and security. Therefore, working conditions which consist of factors such as physical conditions. psychological conditions, and temporary conditions of the work environment, must be considered so

that workers can feel comfortable in their work so that they can improve work productivity both personally and organizationally.

Management of an organization must pay attention to the environmental conditions in which its employees work to provide comfort. Hospital management must have its own plans for how to manage and prepare a safe and comfortable environment for employees to work, so that any planned work can run smoothly and properly.

Sedarmayanti (2001) states that broadly speaking, the type of work environment is divided into 2 namely: (a) physical work environment, and (b) non-physical work The physical environment. work environment includes: lighting, air temperature, humidity, air circulation, noise, mechanical steering, odor. color management, decoration, music and security in the workplace. While, the non-physical work environment includes social relations in the workplace either between superiors and subordinates or relationships between subordinates.

The research conducted found that there were still many working conditions that said were not satisfied, this was because the place of work was working from a nonphysical environment of the hospital which was less comfortable because of its location close to the highway so that it was noisy with vehicles but not too influential in provide nursing care.

The problems that occur in the hospital hope that the mother can be concluded that the implementation of the management function is not optimal, so that many conflicts occur between fellow nurses and other staff that cause less cooperation is well established. Therefore, the hospital hopes mothers must improve management functions, especially the control system so that there are people who are aware of every event that is able to find solutions effectively and efficiently with the parties involved. The existence of a good control system will be able to improve the quality of nursing services, because in working if the physical and non-physical environment is good, it will make nurses work safely and comfortably and have their own satisfaction.

CONCLUSION

- Nurses' satisfaction in hospitalization for Harapan Bunda Hospital in 2015 was found more than half of the nurses were dissatisfied.
- 2) The supporting factors (Motivator Factors) of nurses imple menting Inpatient Harapan Bunda Hospital in 2015 were obtained: more than half of the achievements of the nurse pelaksan work were not achieved, more than half of the recognition of the nurses implementing the nurses, the work itself was more than half not good, the achievement of the work of imple menting nurses is largely not achieved, the work responsibilities of implementing nurses are more than half irresponsible and more than half of the development of nurses' work is not done.
- 3) Hygiene factors for inpatient nurses in Harapan Bunda Hospital in 2015 were obtained: more than half of the work rules for implementing nurses were inappropriate, more than half of the supervision of implementing nurses was done, more than half of the salary for implementing nurses was inappropriate, half of the more than nurses 'interpersonal relationships were not good, more than half of the nurses' working conditions were not good, the security of more than half of the nurses was not safe, more than half of the status of the nurses implementing them was not recognized.

- 4) Supporting factors (Motivator Factor) that have a relationship with satisfaction of inpatient nurses implementing the Harapan Bunda Hospital in Batam in 2015 are the achievement of nurse work, recognition of work, and the work itself.
- 5) Inhibiting factors (hygiene factors) that have a relationship with satisfaction of nurses implementing Inpatient Harapan Bunda Batam Hospital in 2015 are work regulations, salary, and interpersonal relations.
- 6) The determinant factors that influence the job satisfaction of the executive nurses at the Harapan Bunda Hospital in Batam in 2015 are working conditions with a value of OR 5.123.

REFERENCES

- Anwar Prabu Mangkunegara, 2005. *Evaluasi Kinerja SDM*. Bandung : Refika Aditama
- Gatot,D.B & Wiku,Adisasmito.(2005).Hubungan Karak teristik Perawat, Isi Pekerjaan dan Lingkungan Pekerjaan Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Perawat di Instalasi Rawat Inap RS UD Gunung Jati.Cirebon
- Handoko,T.(2001).Manajemen Personalia dan Sumber Daya Manusia. Yogyakarta. Penerbit BPFE.Yogyakarta.
- Hasibuan, MSP. (2001). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Cetakan Keempat. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- _____. (2003). Manajemen Suimb er Daya Manusia, Dasar-dasar Kunci Keberhasilan. Jakarta: Djamberta
- _____. (2008). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Edisi Revisi. PT Bumi Aksara. Jakarta.
- Herzberg F. 2000. Frederick Herzberg's Motivation And Hygiene Factors.
- Luthans,F.(2006). Perilak u organisasi.Yogyakarta.Penerbit Andi.

- Mangkunegara, Anwar Prabu. 2004. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan.Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- ______. (2005).Manajemen Sumberdaya Manusia Perusahaan. Cetak an Keenam. Bandung.
- Maryanto,Pijiyanto Tri Ismu & Setyono,Singgih. (2013). Hubungan Gaya Kepemimpinan Kepela Ruangan dengan Kepuasan Kerja Perawat di Rumah Sakit Swasta Demak. Semarang.
- Munandar, A.S. (2008). *Psik ologi Industry* dan Organisasi. Jakarta Penerbit Universitas Indonesia (UI-Press).
- Noras, Jamila Upik & Sartika,Ratu Ayu Dewi.(2012).Perbandingan Tingk at Kepuasan Perawat Dan Pasien.Jurnal Kesmas Jakarta.
- Rivai, Veitzhal (2004). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Untuk Perusahaan. Jakarta: Murai Kencana.
- Robbins, Stephen P & Jedge T.A. (2009). Perilak u Organisasi. Jakarta.
- _____. (2006). Perilaku Organisasi, Edisil0.Jakarta: PT.Index
- Sedarmayanti (2000). Sumber Daya Manusia dan Produktifitas Kerja. Bandung Mandor Mayo.
- Siagian.(2002).*Kiat Meningkatkan Produktivitas Kerja*.Jakarta:Rineka Cipta.
- _____.(2008). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia.Jakarta:Bumi Aksara.
- Suwatno,H & Priansa,D.J.(2011). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia dalam Organisasi Publik dan Bisnis.Alfabeta:Bandung,
- Swansburg, R.C & Swansburg, R.J.(1999).Introductory Management And Leadership For Nurses.CanadaJones and Barlet Publishers.
- Tahsinia, Nadia. (2013). Faktor-Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Kerja

Perawat di Rs Rumah Sehat Terpadu Parung Bogor.

- Tampilang,et al (2013). Hubungan Supervise Kepala Ruangan dengan Kepuasan Perawat Pelak sana di RSUD Liunk endage Tahuna Uneversitas Samratulangi Manado. Jurnae-NERS.
- The, Feniet al (2013). Analisa Factor-Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Kepuasan Kerja Perawat Di Instalasi Rawat Inap A BLU RSUP Prof Dr.R.D.Kandou Manado.

Wibowo.(2007).*Manajemen Kinerja* Edisi Ke Dua PT.Raja Grafindo persada Liberty. Yogyakarta.Andi Offiset.Yogyakart